

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,352	/886,352 06/20/2001		Christopher L. Cook	41007.P008	4464
29127	7590	02/09/2005	EXAMINER		INER
HOUSTO			MARCELO, MELVIN C		
4 MILITIA DRIVE, SUITE 4 LEXINGTON, MA 02421				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2662	
				DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ø.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,352	COOK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· .	Melvin Marcelo	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,23-33 and 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-22 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	x accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2001</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/886,352

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 13, "said first byte code" should be --said first byte codes--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The examiner could not find the description in the specification for "generated looping instructions" (Claim 13, line 7; claim 14, line 3; claim 18, line 10; and claim 19, line 4). It is not apparent what is meant by the phrase.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,352

Art Unit: 2662

Claim 13, line 7, "said generated looping instructions" lacks a proper antecedent basis with respect to either a 'generating' step or 'looping instructions'. Note: claim 14, line 3, refers to "said generating" step.

Claim 16 improperly depends on claim 15 since it negates the limitation of claim 15. It appears that claim 16 should depend on claim 14. See corresponding apparatus claims 20 and 21.

Claim 18, line 10, "said generated looping instructions" lacks a proper antecedent basis. See above with respect to claim 13. Note: claim 19, line 4, refers to "said generating" step.

Claim 34, line 7, "said executable instructions" lacks a proper antecedent basis. It appears that the phrase should be --said first byte codes--. See corresponding method claim 32, line 7.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-12, 23-33 and 35-40 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 34 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 13-22 are rejected under 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, but are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-3125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2662

February 4, 2005